Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering -1 (2022) 1–16 DOI 10.3233/ICA-220683 IOS Press

An explainable semi-personalized federated learning model

Konstantinos Demertzis^{a,*}, Lazaros Iliadis^b, Panagiotis Kikiras^c and Elias Pimenidis^d ^aSchool of Science and Technology, Informatics Studies, Hellenic Open University, Greece ^bSchool of Civil Engineering, Democritus University of Thrace, Kimmeria, Xanthi, Greece ^cDepartment of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Thessaly, Volos, Greece ^dComputer Science and Creative Technologies, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK

Abstract. Training a model using batch learning requires uniform data storage in a repository. This approach is intrusive, as users have to expose their privacy and exchange sensitive data by sending them to central entities to be preprocessed. Unlike the aforementioned centralized approach, training of intelligent models via the federated learning (FEDL) mechanism can be carried out using decentralized data. This process ensures that privacy and protection of sensitive information can be managed by a user or an organization, employing a single universal model for all users. This model should apply average aggregation methods to the set of cooperative training data. This raises serious concerns for the effectiveness of this universal approach and, therefore, for the validity of FEDL architectures in general. Generally, it flattens the unique needs of individual users without considering the local events to be managed. This paper proposes an innovative hybrid explainable semi-personalized federated learning model, that utilizes Shapley Values and Lipschitz Constant techniques, in order to create personalized intelligent models. It is based on the needs and events that each individual user is required to address in a federated format. Explanations are the assortment of characteristics of the interpretable system, which, in the case of a specified illustration, helped to bring about a conclusion and provided the function of the model on both local and global levels. Retraining is suggested only for those features for which the degree of change is considered quite important for the evolution of its functionality.

Keywords: Decentralized learning, federated learning, privacy-preserving architecture, explainable AI, local and global interpretability, shapley values, lipschitz constant

1 1. Introduction

The complete transformation of supply chain (SC) 2 in a truly integrated and fully automated process as-3 sumes the continuous and endless collection of digital 4 information from every stage of the production [1]. Fol-5 lowing this idea, the history of services and products 6 per stage of the supply chain, can be investigated. The 7 emerging continuous need of connectivity, raises seri-8 ous concerns for the protection of personal data and for 9 digital security as a whole [2]. 10 At the same time, the heterogeneity of the sys-11

12

tems included in the supply chain as well as the non-

conventional interoperability, in terms of hardware and software, results to even more serious concerns related to the security and protection of these systems [3,4].

13

14

15

Recently, the authors developed and presented a spe-16 cialized and technologically up-to-date framework for 17 the protection of digital security, privacy and industrial 18 confidentiality. Specifically, the developed framework 19 is related to an advanced adaptive federated auto met-20 alearning mechanism (AFAMM), which operates on a 21 blockchain and applies advanced encryption techniques, 22 to fully ensure privacy and industrial secrecy [5]. The 23 security and privacy focused architecture of this frame-24 work, has three main characteristics, namely: a) no sen-25 sitive data is transmitted through communication chan-26 nels b) the data is not stored in a central point of attack 27 and c) the learning algorithms are constantly upgrading 28 their predictability [2,5]. 29

^{*}Corresponding author: Konstantinos Demertzis, School of Science and Technology, Informatics Studies, Hellenic Open University, Greece. E-mail: demertzis.konstantinos@ac.eap.gr.



An intelligent control mechanism has been devel-30 oped to detect malfunctions in the processes of a com-31 munication network running under an Industry 4.0 en-32 vironment [6]. This system is based on the analysis of 33 network traffic and on the development of an automatic 34 intelligent neural network for the control and detec-35 tion of abnormalities. The training and updating of the 36 model were performed using federated learning and the 37 communication of all involved parts was done through 38 blockchain methods. The modules of this architecture 39 are illustrated in Fig. 1. 40

Under this framework, when a device wants to com-41 municate with another, the proposed intelligent mecha-42 nism is activated, implementing a network traffic con-43 trol to detect anomalies. In the first phase, the features 44 of the network's traffic are exported in order to form 45 the input vectors to a Neural Network (NN) that is au-46 tomatically developed following the Neural Architec-47 *ture Search* technique. The model is initially trained 48 on the host server with some initial data, in order for 49 the training process to begin. Then, it is encrypted with 50 homomorphic encryption and it is sent via blockchain 51 (BLCH) to nodes that will use it. The nodes in question 52 receive the model and improve it by exploiting the data 53 at their disposal [7–9]. The obtained enhanced version 54 is encrypted and returned via blockchain to the host 55 server. In this stage, the best models are aggregated, and 56 the weighted average is selected using the Grid Search 57 Weighted Average Ensemble method. The final model 58 is returned back to the nodes using BLCH. If the traffic 59 is characterized as normal, further communication is 60 allowed. Otherwise, communication is forbidden and an 61 alarm is sent to the control center, for further analysis 62 of the transaction [10]. 63

The federated module allows remote devices to download and run the original trained machine learning model that is developed by the neural search approach. This is populated with local data, improving its accuracy, and then it is sent back to the federated module, which summarizes the changes using the *Dynamic Weighted Average technique*. The updated version, is fed back to the network nodes, through the blockchain module [11,12].

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

Based on this architecture, the initial experiments give the impression that there is a continuous improvement of the intelligent model, and that end users can have constant access to an ever-upgraded NN. After extensive observation, it was demonstrated that learning a single universal model by aggregating the best models and selecting the weighted average via Dynamic Weighted Average (DWA), could not satisfy the local needs of the users. This is due to the fact that the events they had to deal with, were significantly different in terms of the data threats they process. For example, mobile users face different threats than the Internet of Things' (IoT) devices or SCADA industrial network terminals [10,13].

While constant upgrade increases generalization, it raises serious concerns for its efficiency at local level. Figure 2 shows the noticeable decrease of the local model's accuracy, compared to the global and to the original ones.

As it can be seen after the first 30 iterations, the local model has significantly higher accuracy than the global one. This is explained by the fundamental hypothesis related to the development of any supervised machine learning model (MLM), according to which, the data



Fig. 3. Drift data by classes.

under consideration, mimic real-world cases. No matter 97 how accurate the MLM, the predictions are correct only 98 if the used data is identical or statistically equivalent 99 to the training vectors. Minor changes (drifts) that a 100 realistic problem is capable of bringing to the data [14, 101 15], might result in a reduction of the classification 102 accuracy, as it is shown in Fig. 2. 103

In this research paper, a drift analysis has been per-104 formed to identify the response of local models to 105 changes in the data, and to estimate how they affect 106 the properties of the classes that the learning system is 107 trying to discover. In cases where changes occur (drift) 108 a sensitivity analysis can provide accurate information 109 about the quality of the universal model, produced by 110 the federated learning system [16]. 111

Initially, p-values [17] were calculated to detect 112 changes in the data and to estimate how likely is that the 113

data will not change (null-hypothesis). The resulting 114 p values for each feature were less than 0.05, which proves strong evidence against null-hypothesis, as there is less than a 5% probability that null-hypothesis is correct. Therefore, the null-hypothesis is rejected, and an alternative hypothesis is adopted, i.e., that there is a drift in our data. To this regard, the Exponentially Weighted Moving Average (EWMA) algorithm was used, which renews the estimation of a variable by combining the most recent estimates of all previous measurements based on the following Eq. (1) [18]: 124

$$X_{t} = az_{t} + (1 - a)X_{t-1} \rightarrow X_{t} = X_{t-1} + a(z_{t} - X_{t-1})$$
(1)

where X_t is the moving average, z_t is the last measurement and α is the weight in the interval [0, 1], given by the last measurement. The target of the algorithm is to 127



Fig. 5. Drift data in BwdPacketLengthMax future by classes.

generate an estimate that gives more weight to recent
measurements, assuming that they are more likely to be
relevant. Based on the performed EWMA tests, 95.45%
of the dataset's features (63 out of 66) appear to be
drifted, as shown in the following Fig. 3.
A specific example of the *BwdPacketLengthMax* fea-

drifted, as shown in the following Fig. 3. A specific example of the *BwdPacketLengthMax* feature and its dispersion, appears in the following Figs 4 and 5.

From the above analysis it was concluded that there are three options that can be followed to effectively address the problem [3,18,19]:

1. The first is retraining the system. This approach is characterized by high computational costs that are considered unacceptable, while in practice, this methodology did not perform well.

2. The second is the use of Adaptive Learning methods [20] that are capable to follow changes presented by the data stream. The methodology in question is first checked for the accuracy of the categorization it can produce. It also requires mechanisms that forget outdated examples and therefore address the problem of catastrophic forgetting. Finally, it develops requirements for the model to be reviewed on an ongoing basis, which creates serious computational costs, while its utilization would be preferable for data flow analysis.

3. The third is detecting changes and retraining only those features of the model for which the degree

of change is considered sufficiently significant. The methodology in question, requires strategies to detect and quantify potential changes in the data that modify their distribution over time. It also needs a reliable model for identifying those features of the model that require retraining.

This paper follows the third option as the preferable methodology, in order to explore the personalization potential of federated learning for each user. Thus, only the necessary characteristics of the model are retrained, based on the respective needs and the events that it is called to face.

2. Related research

The methodology of the federated learning technique, has been of great interest to the research community. In this section related work will be presented.

For example, in [11] it is presented a comprehensive 173 study with an experimental analysis of federated deep 174 learning approaches for cyber security in IoT applica-175 tions. Specifically, it is provided an exploratory analysis 176 of federated learning model with three deep learning 177 approaches, namely, Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), 178 Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), and Deep Feed-179 forward Neural Network (DNN). For each deep learn-180 ing model, the performance of centralized and federated 181

ying yen yin pon The r ts

169

170

171

172

157

158

159

160

161

154

155

K. Demertzis et al. / An explainable semi-personalized federated learning model

learning under three real IoT traffic datasets is studied. 182 Furthermore, the article aims to provide important in-183 formation on federated deep learning approaches with 184 emerging technologies for cyber security. In addition, it 185 demonstrates that federated deep learning approaches 186 outperform the classic/centralized versions of machine 187 learning (non-federated learning) in assuring the pri-188 vacy of IoT device data and providing higher accuracy 189 in detecting attacks. 190

However, since adversaries can track and derive par-191 ticipants' privacy from the shared gradients, federated 192 learning is still exposed to various security and privacy 193 threats. In [21], the authors consider two major issues 194 in the training process over deep neural networks: 1) 195 how to protect user's privacy (i.e., local gradients) in 196 the training process and 2) how to verify the integrity 197 (or correctness) of the aggregated results returned from 198 the server. Several approaches focusing on secure or 199 privacy-preserving federated learning have been pro-200 posed and applied in diverse scenarios to solve the 201 above problems. However, it is still an open problem 202 enabling clients to verify whether the cloud server is 203 operating correctly while guaranteeing users' privacy 204 in the training process. Therefore, a model named Ver-205 ifyNet is proposed which is a privacy-preserving and 206 verifiable federated learning framework. Specifically, 207 the authors presented a double-masking protocol to 208 guarantee the confidentiality of users' local gradients 200 during the federated learning. Then, a cloud server is 210 required to provide proof about the correctness of its 211 aggregated results to each user. Also, it is claimed that 212 it is impossible that an adversary can deceive users by 213 forging evidence unless it can solve the NP-hard prob-214 lem adopted in their model. In addition, VerifyNet is 215 also supportive of users dropping out during the training 216 process. The extensive experiments conducted on real-217 world data also demonstrate the functional performance 218 of the proposed scheme. 219

Due to lacking effective incentives and trust, data 220 from different operators cannot be shared directly. 221 In [22], the authors proposed an approach on blockchain 222 -based federated learning for implementing asynchrono-223 us collaborative machine learning between distributed 224 agents that own data. This method performs distributed 225 machine learning without a trusted central server. The 226 blockchain smart contract is used to realize the man-227 agement of the entire federated learning. Using the his-228 torical data collected from real systems, the learning 229 agent in the federated learning method adopts a sup-230 port vector machine (SVM) based, intelligent control 231 model. The authors optimize classic SVM, by assigning 232

different penalty factors to the majority and minority 233 classes to deal with the imbalanced data. The data sets 234 are mapped to a high dimension using kernel functions 235 to make it linearly separable. Also, they construct a 236 mixing kernel function composed of polynomial and ra-237 dial basis function (RBF) kernel functions, which uses 238 a dynamic weight factor to improve the model accuracy. 239 The simulation results demonstrate the efficiency and 240 accuracy of their proposed intelligent control method. 241

On the other hand, because the outcomes of attack 242 detection are critical to cybersecurity, every decision 243 should be supported by compelling arguments. Deep 244 learning methods can extract valuable features directly 245 from original data. However, this model is complex and 246 considered a "black box," resulting in low model in-247 terpretability. As a result, interpretability has become 248 a bottleneck for deep learning methods used in attack 249 detection. The authors of [23] proposed a deep learn-250 ing method that can be interpreted based on spatial do-251 main attention. The model can detect and locate fea-252 ture strings in packets, providing a meaningful seman-253 tic explanation for the detection results. The authors 254 conducted qualitative and quantitative experiments on 255 the following datasets DARPA1998, UNSW-NB15, and 256 CIC-IDS-2017. The experimental results show that our 257 method's interpretability outperforms state-of-the-art interpretable models in quantifiable criteria while retaining comparable classification accuracy.

In addition, to balance Transient Stability Assessment (TSA) accuracy and transparency, this paper [24] proposes an interpretable DL-based TSA model. The proposed method combines a deep neural network's strong nonlinear modeling capability with the interpretability of a Decision Tree (DT). The proposed interpretable DL-based TSA method can visually explain the TSA decision-making process by regularizing the DL-based model with the average DT path length during the training process. The simulation results show that the proposed method can produce highly accurate TSA results and interpretable TSA decision-making rules, which can be used to design preventive control actions.

Finally, the feed forward (FF) designed convolutional 275 neural network (FF-CNN) is a network that can be in-276 terpreted. The model's parameter training does not ne-277 cessitate backpropagation (BP) or Stochastic Gradient 278 Descent optimization algorithms (SGD). The entire net-279 work is built on the previous layer's statistical data, and 280 the current layer's parameters are obtained in a single 281 pass. Because FF design reduces network complexity 282 compared to the BP algorithm, FF-CNN outperforms 283

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

the BP training method in semi-supervised learning, 284 ensemble learning, and continuous subspace learning. 285 However, the FF-CNN training process or model re-286 lease results in leakage of training data privacy. The 287 authors of this paper [25] analyze and demonstrate that 288 an attacker can obtain the private information of the 289 original training data after mastering the FF-CNN train-290 ing parameters and partial output responses. As a result, 291 training in data privacy protection is critical. However, 292 because of the unique characteristics of the FF-CNN, 293 existing deep learning privacy protection technology is 294 inapplicable. To protect the training data in FF-CNN, 295 the authors are proposing a differential privacy sub-296 space approximation technique with adjusted bias (DP-297 Saab). They design the privacy budget allocation based 298 on the ratio of the eigenvalues and allocate a larger 299 privacy budget to the filter with a significant contribu-300 tion, and vice versa, based on the different contributions 301 of the model filters to the output response. Extensive 302 experiments on MNIST, Fashion-MNIST and CIFAR-303 10 datasets show that the DPSaab algorithm outper-304 forms existing privacy protection technologies in terms 305 of utility. 306

307 3. Methodology

The proposed methodology uses Shapley Values [26, 27] to generate global and local interpretabilities capable of explaining why the model reaches a specific decision. Respectively, it can detect how the Lipschitz Constant [28,29] evolves during the training of the individual characteristics of the intelligent model, in order to evaluate the methodology [30,31].

Thus, by combining these two methods, a completely transparent model is realized, capable to reveal the following [32]:

a) the actual source of the data; b) the implemented 318 training strategy; c) the type of the employed in-319 telligent model; d) the hyperparameters used for 320 the training and testing data sets; e) the features 321 introduced to the model and the analysis of obvious and hidden existing correlations; f) the character-323 istics of the model with the highest predictability; 324 g) the influence of each characteristic on the final 325 prediction in both training and testing and in the 326 accurate measurement of the model's performance 327 by evaluating unknown data [33–35]. 328

329 3.1. Shapley values

A thorough approach using the *Global and Local* Interpretability methodology was performed to obtain a holistic picture of the network, in terms of how it makes decisions, what are its most important features, and what interactions are taking place between the features in this methodology [36].

Global interpretability provides an overview of the model, while Local interpretability focuses on explanations from a small data area, which analyzes a single instance of the data set and explains why the model has reached a specific decision. This is because in small areas of data, the prediction may depend only linearly or monotonously on certain features of the model, rather than having a more complex dependence on them. Thus, the global and local interpretabilities of the model's features could be identified. Moreover, this could determine the parameters that would be part of the local or the global model [37].

Shapley values are a very effective way of generating explanations on how a model works. Its mathematical background comes from the *Cooperative/Coalitional Game Theory*, where the payoff/gain of a cooperative game's players, is realized by a real function which gives values to sets of players [26].

Specifically, the problem of a neural network's architectural structures is considered as a cooperative game, whose players are the characteristics of the data set, the profit function is the NN' model under consideration, and the predictions are the corresponding winnings [38,39].

In this content, the Shapley values show the contribution of each feature and therefore the explanation why the model made a specific decision.

More specifically, the Shapley value of a NN' characteristic i, is given by the following relation [26,32,37]:

$$p_{i} = \sum_{S \in F \setminus \{i\}} \frac{|S|!(M - |S| - 1)!}{M!} \\ \left[f_{S \cup \{i\}} \left(x_{S \cup \{i\}} \right) - f_{S} \left(x_{S} \right) \right]$$
(2)

where F is the set of attributes, S is a subset of F and M = |F| the absolute number of attributes. This relation measures the weight of each attribute by calculating its contribution when it is present in the forecast and then subtracts it when it is absent.

More specifically:

4

- 1. $f_{S \cup \{i\}}(x_{S \cup \{i\}})$: is the output when the i^{∞} characteristic is present.
- 2. $f_S(x_S)$: is the output when the i^{∞} characteristic is absent.
- 3. $\sum_{S \in F \setminus \{i\}} \frac{|S|!(M-|S|-1)!}{M!}$ is the weighted average of all the potential subsets of S in F.

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

332

333

334

421

422

423

424

425

430

431

432

K. Demertzis et al. / An explainable semi-personalized federated learning model

The Shapley method uses the linear correlation of 377 the independent and dependent variables which is mea-378 sured by calculating the Pearson R correlation table. 379 The proposed architecture, is considering the inability 380 of the Pearson's method to detect nonlinear correlations 381 such as sinus wave, quadratic curve. It uses the Pre-382 dictive Power Score (PPS) technique to summarize the 383 predictive data between available forecasts [40]. More 384 specifically, it explains how variable A informs variable 385 B, more than variable B informs variable A. Techni-386 cally, scoring is a measurement in the interval [0, 1] of a 387 model's success in predicting a variable target with the 388 help of an off-sample variable prediction. This practi-389 cally means that this method can increase the efficiency 390 and transparency of finding hidden patterns in the data, 391 and thus it can facilitate the selection of appropriate 392 prediction variables [41]. The use of the PPS method 393 also focuses on the fact that a local explanation of the 394 model's parameters must be obtained. As a result, this 395 data should be ultimately capable of operating without 396 retraining and of course without being reinforced in the 397 second phase of training. For the calculation of PPS in 398 numerical variables the metric of Mean Absolute Error 399 (MAE) was used, which is the measure used for the 400 quantification of the error between the estimated and 401 the observed values. It is calculated by the following 402 formula [17]: 403

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f_i - y_i| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |e_i|$$
(3)

where f_i is the estimated value, whereas the y_i is the 404 actual value. The average of the above absolute differ-405 ences of these values is defined as the absolute error of 406 their relation $|e_i| = |f_i - y_i|$. 407

Moreover, the F_{Score} the Recall and the Precision 408 indices were used: 409

$$F_{\text{Score}} = \frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN} \tag{4}$$

3.2. Lipschitz Constant 410

Lipschitz Constant (LIPC) [28] was used to evalu-411 ate and confirm the final efficiency of the local model, 412 that was obtained by the application of the Shapley 413 methodology. Using LIPC the behavior of the Scat-414 tering Transformation can be studied, when a set with 415 similar inputs are entered as inputs. This transforma-416 tion can approach the operation of a simple neural net-417 work architecture, allowing the study of how neural 418 networks succeed in solving difficult problems that re-419 quire multistage extraction of features [42,43]. At the 420

same time, the properties of this transformation can explain the way in which a neural network can achieve immutability in the displacement of the input, as well as in small deformations of the input, as in cases of elastic deformation [29].

Specifically, new inputs are generated, when we add 426 at the input h a very small change p which results in a 427 new input h + p, which is classified differently than the 428 original input, using a properly selected input function 429 *p* as follows [32,44]:

$$||S[m](h+p) - S[m](h)|| \le ||p||$$

It turns out that the output for a new variable input is no different from the original input more than ||p||. So, if the transformation follows the constraints of the Scattering transformation, i.e.:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \hat{\psi}_{(i,j)(\omega)} \right|^2 \leqslant \frac{C^2}{N}, \left| \hat{\varphi}_{(\omega)} \right|^2 \leqslant C^2 \tag{5}$$

This means, that the C constant is a determinant of how vulnerable the transformation is to input changes of p.

As the Lipschitz constant determines the classifier's 438 ability to correspond to new inputs, it is proposed its use 439 in order to detect how this constant evolves during the 440 training of a neural network's local parameters [32]. In 441 particular, let the input of a Convolutional Neural Net-442 work (CNN) be in the form of a vector. Let $f(x_{in}, c)$ be 443 the output of the network for class c and x_{in} the input. 444 Let y_{in} , h_{in} two different input vectors with respective 445 output $f(y_{in}, c), f(h_{in}, c)$ and y_{ik}, h_{ik} the output of 446 the kth layer in channel *i* for each one of the two inputs. 447 The CNN comprises of convolution layers, pooling lay-448 ers and ReLU activation functions [45]. Thus, for each 449 of the three layer-types we have [3,19]: 450

1. Let k layer be a convolution layer. As we express 451 inputs as one-dimensional vectors, convolution 452 with a two-dimensional core ψ_{ijk} , connecting *ith* 453 output channel with the *jth* input channel u, is 454 done by multiplying the input vector with a table 455 A_{ijk} that is produced by the initial core such as: 456

$$x_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} A_{ijk} x_{j(k-1)} \ i = 1, 2, \dots, M_k(6)$$

where N_k is the number of the input channels and M_k is the number of the output channels of the Convolutional layer k. Thus:

$$\|y_{ik} - h_{ik}\|_2 = \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N_k} A_{ijk} y_{j(k-1)}\right\|$$

457 458

459

433 434

435

436

460

461

462

463

464

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} A_{ijk} h_{j(k-1)} \bigg\|_{2}$$
$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} A_{ijk} \left(y_{j(k-1)} - h_{j(k-1)} \right) \right\|_{2}$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \left\| A_{ijk} \left(y_{j(k-1)} - h_{j(k-1)} \right) \right\|_{2}$$
(7)
$$\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \left\| A_{ijk} \right\|_{2} \left\| y_{j(k-1)} - h_{j(k-1)} \right\|_{2}$$
(13)
$$\Rightarrow \left\| y_{ik} - h_{ik} \right\|_{2} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k}} \left\| A_{ijk} \right\|_{2} \left\| y_{j(k-1)} - h_{j(k-1)} \right\|_{2}$$

2. Let k be the Pooling Layer in which there is no overlapping of the areas:

$$\|y_{ik} - h_{ik}\|_{2} \leq \|y_{j(k-1)} - h_{j(k-1)}\|_{2}$$
(8)

3. Let k be the ReLU layer, then the output layer has the form:

$$x_{ik} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{ik}(1) \\ x_{ik}(2) \\ \vdots \\ x_{ik}(m) \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

The output $x_{ik}(t)$ is obtained as follows:

$$x_{ik}(t) = \max\left(0, x_{i(k-1)}(t)\right)$$
$$\|y_{ik} - h_{ik}\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{t=1}^{m} |\max\left(0, y_{i(k-1)}(t)\right)$$
$$-\max\left(0, h_{i(k-1)}(t)\right)|^{2}$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{t=1}^{m} |y_{j(k-1)}(t) - h_{j(k-1)}(t)|^{2} \qquad (10)$$
$$= \|y_{j(k-1)} - h_{j(k-1)}\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \|y_{jk} - h_{jk}\|_{2}$$
$$\leqslant \|y_{j(k-1)} - h_{j(k-1)}\|_{2}^{2}$$

where $|\max(0, \alpha) - \max(0, \beta)| \leq |\alpha - \beta|$. 465

Using the above equations, the constant L_{ik} can be 466 estimated, for which the following condition should be met: 468

$$\|y_{jk} - h_{jk}\|_2 \leq L_{ik} \|y_{10} - h_{10}\|_2 \tag{11}$$

The constant is defined recursively, as $L_{ik} = 1$. For any type of layer, we have the following:

1. Convolution layer:

$$L_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \|A_{ijk}\|_2 L_{j(k-1)}$$
(12)

2. Pooling layer:

$$L_{ik} = L_{i(k-1)}$$
(13)
3. ReLU function:

$$L_{ik} = L_{i(k-1)} \tag{14}$$

If the network has p layers the Lipschitz constant that satisfies the following relation:

$$\|f(y_{in},c) - f(h_{in},c)\|_{2} \leq L_{cp} \|y_{in} - h_{in}\|_{2}$$
 (15)

Having developed the method for finding a *ipschitz* constant for the network, this research studied how it evolves during the training of a NN.

The following layers were included:

- 1. Embedding layer with hyperparameters that indicate the dimensions of the emerging integrations.
- 2. Dropout layer with hyperparameters indicating the dropout rate.
- 3. 1D Convolution layer with hyperparameters' filters and kernel size that define the number of the output channels and the width of the 1D core respectively.
- 4. bi-LSTM layer $\mu \varepsilon$ with hyperparameters that indicate the size of the output dimensions of the 1st layer.
- 5. Dense layer with two outputs and Sigmoid activation function.

This network is characterized by its simplicity, as it uses 1D Convolution and a bi-LSTM layer that are stacked one after the other, in scalable depth. Overall, the hyperparameters of the model are presented below:

- 1. embedding_size = [32, 128]. 2. dropout = [0.01, 0.1]. 3. filters = [16, 32, 64]. 4. kernel_size = [3, 5, 7]. 5. $pool_size = [2, 4].$
- 6. lstm output size = [16, 64].
- 7. $batch_size = [8, 16, 32].$

The network comprises of 5 layers with two different outputs in the last layer, one for each class, namely: Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS), and Benign. The average value of the constants L_{i5} symbolized as L_{out} was recorded

$$L_{out} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} L_{i5} \tag{16}$$

509

469 470

467

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508



Fig. 6. Architectural modeling of the federated learning partners.

Following the experimental validation of the pro-510 posed method, the network was trained using 70% of 511 the available data vectors [46,47]. At the end of each 512 training season, the constant L_{out} was recorded. The 513 evolution of the NN during the training process was 514 studied thoroughly [48,49]. The hardware used, was 515 based on the GPU chipset, optimized for the free deep 516 learning TensorFlow library. 517

A collaborative network of three federated part-518 ners namely: domain_alpha, domain_bravo and do-519 *main_charlie* that communicate with each other through 520 optical fibers, was simulated in order to implement the 521 Federated Learning (FEL) scenario. The FEL Server 522 (FLS) is located in the Demilitarized Zone (DMZone). 523 Its task is to initiate model's training, with some initial 524 data and to apply the algorithmic process of aggregating 525 the optimal models and selecting the weighted average, 526 via the Dynamic Weighted Average method [2,5,6,9, 527 32]. The overall architecture is presented in Fig. 6. 528

4. Dataset, scenarios and results

The interconnected heterogeneous industrial systems of specialized mechanical equipment exchange huge amounts of data in the unit of time. The analysis, and classification of data traffic, is one of the most serious tasks for the monitoring of large-scale attacks, as well as for the study of cybercrime [13,16].

The substantive evaluation of the proposed intelligent system was carried out on the *CICDDoS2019* [50], which is one of the most comprehensive web traffic analysis datasets, developed under the supervision of the *Canadian Institute for Cybersecurity*, with an emphasis on DDoS attacks' detection. The DDoS are very well-organized types of attacks in which the identity of the attacker, remains hidden using the legitimate component of a third party [51].

The set includes modern DDoS attacks, which have been detected in real incidents, and have been identified based on attack indicators. Specifically, the web traffic packages included in this dataset are sent to the *reflector servers* by intruders with source IP address set to target victim IP address, in order to crush the victim's system with response packets.

The attacks are performed through the application layer using transport layer protocols. The malware spectrum includes: TCP-based (*Transfer Control Protocol*) attacks such as MSSQL, SSDP, UDP-based (*User Datagram Protocol*) attacks such as CharGen, NTP, and TFTP, and more complex ones, that can be performed either with TCP or with UDP, such as DNS (*Domain Name Server*), LDAP (*Lightweight Directory Access Protocol*), NETBIOS (Network Basic Input/Output System) and SNMP (*Simple Network Management Protocol*). Moreover, there are TCP based attacks (e.g., MSSQL, SSDP) UDP based ones (e.g., CharGen, NTP and TFTP). More complicated attacks can be executed either via TCP or via UDP, e.g., DNS, LDAP, NETBIOS and SNMP.

There are also UDP flood attacks, where UDP packets are sent at a very high rate to random ports on the victim's system, resulting in depleted network bandwidth, degraded performance, and system crashes.

SYN (short for Synchronization) flood attacks constitute a serious threat, where attackers are forcing the

572

540







Fig. 8. A parallel coordinates' plot from global interpretabilities.

victim's system to consume server resources continu-573 ously, until it malfunctions or crashes. This is achieved 574 by sending repetitive SYN packets misusing the TCP-575 three-way handshake. Finally, the set includes UDP-576 Lag attacks that disrupt the connection between clients 577 and servers using hardware resources or a software pro-578 gram that runs on the network and uses other users' 579 bandwidth. More details can be found at [51]. 580

After data preprocessing, the dataset comprised of 581 66 features, 11,856,972 instances and 2 classes namely 582 Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) and Benign. Ini-583 tially, during the training process an attempt was made 584 to interpret the data in their original raw form. Specifi-585 cally, the diagram of parallel coordinates was employed, 586 to represent the dimensions of the features by parallel 587 axes, one per dimension. Thus, each multivariate point 588 is modeled as a polyline that connects the correspond-589 ing dimensions. At the same time, this diagram encodes 590 the correlation between the data dimensions, so that 591 the line intersections indicate inverse correlations. The 592

following figure present a graph of parallel coordinates during training.

Extensive tests were performed with data batches, the size of which varied, to identify local interpretabilities. Local interpretabilities provide explanations that come from a small data area, which analyzes a relatively small batch of data and explains why the model made a specific decision for that particular batch [52]. This is due to the fact that in small areas of data, the prediction may depend only linearly or monotonously on certain features of the model, rather than having a more complex dependence on them [20]. Thus, in this way the global and local interpretabilities of the model's characteristics can be identified. Also, the parameters of the local model can be distinguished from the ones of the global [53]. An example of a graph of parallel coordinates during the detection of global interpretabilities, is shown in the Fig. 8.

Unfortunately, there isn't another comparable model to use as a benchmark. Consequently, to avoid bias or



K. Demertzis et al. / An explainable semi-personalized federated learning model

Fig. 9. Summary beeswarm plot.

-1

SHAP value (impact on model output)

incorrect impressions, we present the performance of 613 the proposed model without making any comparisons 614 with any other alternative models. The evaluation of 615 the values of the variables in the way they contribute 616 to the prediction and the explanation of each decision 617 of the global interpretabilities, were carried out, using 618 the Shapley values. Figure 9 shows the classification of 619 the records, used in a summary beeswarm plot which 620 is a simple way to capture the relative effect of all the 621 features on the whole data set. Attributes are sorted 622 based on the sum of Shapley values in all samples in 623 the set. 624

The most important features of the model are shown 625 from top to bottom. Each attribute of the set is symbol-626 ized by dots, while the color of the dot symbolizes the 627 value of the attribute (blue corresponds to a low value, 628 while red to a high value). The position of the dot on 629 the horizontal axis depends on its *Shapley value*. It 630 is clear that the attribute FwdPacketLengthMax has the 631 most important contribution for the determination of 632 the model's forecasts. The Shapley price is also high for 633 its high values (red dots), so it has a great positive ef-634 fect globally. In contrast, for low values (blue dots) the 635 Shapley value is low, so it has a negative effect on the 636

forecast, i.e. it increases the probability that the global model is not affected [54].

An example of a graph of parallel coordinates during local interpretability detection is shown in Fig. 10.

Figure 11, is using a chosen sample from the dataset, in order to represent the typical values of the attributes. Then, ten samples are used to estimate the *Shapley* values for a given prediction. This experiment, requires $10 \times 1 = 10$ assessments of the model in order to obtain the final conclusion.

This figure shows a local explanation, where the *base_value* refers to the average value of the model's forecasts, (i.e., in this case the model predicts that the batch of data being analyzed does not affect the local model with a probability of 7%). For this package, the forecast price is 95.92%, so the Shapley prices show the change from the average forecast to the specific forecast. The red arrows push the prediction to the right, that is, they help to increase the probability that the local model will be affected in the specific batch of data, while the blue arrows push to the left, helping to reduce the corresponding probability.

The length of each arrow symbolizes the magnitude of the respective effect on the prediction.



664

665

666

After the global and local interpretabilities were identified, *Partial Dependence Plots* (PDPs) were used to confirm the process, showing the marginal effect that each characteristic has on the predicted result of the model. A typical example of the process is shown in Fig. 12. The number of input features of interest must be limited (usually to one or two in order to accommodate the limitations of human perception); As a result, the input features of interest are typically selected among the essential features. Figure 13 depicts a one-way partial dependence plot for the dataset under consideration.



Fig. 14. Performance evaluation of federated partner domain_charlie_I.

One-way dependence plots provide information about the interaction between the target response of a 674 particular input and a feature of interest (e.g., linear, 675 non-linear). The contribution to the prediction proba-676 bility is depicted in the above figure. When the average 677 prediction accuracy is 96.7%, we can see a linear rela-678 tionship. In a similar manner, we could investigate the 679 impact of various dataset parameters. As a result, these 680 interpretations are marginal, considering each feature 681 one at a time. 682

Finally, the results of L_{out} while testing federated 683 partners using local and global models are presented in 684 the diagram of Fig. 13. 685

The L_{out} can be an essential importance measure and it defined as the deviation of the value of each unique feature from the average curve:

$$I(x_{S}) = (17)$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\hat{f}_{S} \left(x_{S}^{(k)} \right) - \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{f}_{S} \left(x_{S}^{(k)} \right) \right)^{2}}$$

The $x_S^{(k)}$ are the k unique values of feature x_S . Respectively, the results of the federated partner of domain charlie are presented in the Figs 14 and 15.

Each figure is a summary of prediction results on the classification problem. The correct and incorrect

686 687 688



Fig. 15. Performance evaluation of federated partner domain_charlie_II.

predictions are summarized with count values and theyare broken down by each class.

Furthermore, the precision for each class is the num-696 ber of true positives (i.e., the number of items correctly 697 labeled as belonging to the positive class) divided by 698 the total number of elements labeled as belonging to 699 the positive class (i.e., the sum of true positives and 700 false positives, which are items incorrectly labeled as 701 belonging to the class). Furthermore, in this context, re-702 call is defined as the number of true positives divided by 703 the total number of elements that belong to the positive 704 class (i.e., the sum of true positives and false negatives, 705 which are items that were not labeled as belonging to 706 the positive class but should have been). 707

708 **5. Conclusion**

⁷⁰⁹ In this work a novel hybrid explainable semi-⁷¹⁰ personalized federated learning model was proposed, utilizing the *Shapley Values* and *Lipschitz Constant* techniques to create personalized intelligent local models. This is achieved based on the needs and events that each user is required to address locally. In particular, the system in question provides clear explanations as to why the model made a specific decision on locally handled data. Then, it detects how the training of the intelligent model evolves, by dictating the hyperparameters that should be trained locally. This results in a model that responds optimally to the local problems it is called to face.

This cutting-edge research proposal has never been proposed before in the relevant literature, and we believe that it has the potential to considerably extend the state-of-the-art in the field of explainable artificial intelligence.

As demonstrated experimentally with this technique, an understanding is gained of how the model makes decisions and what interactions are performed between the features used, in order to achieve correct or incorrect

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

K. Demertzis et al. / An explainable semi-personalized federated learning model

classification. The model provide information about the 731 interaction between the target response of a particular 732 input and a feature of interest. Respectively, it allows for 733 the personalization of the federated learning model for 734 each user, so that only the necessary characteristics of 735 the model are retrained, based on the respective needs 736 and the events that it is called to respond. Thus, it offers 737 the ability to manage, control and explain how to handle 738 multiple intermediate representations, as well as more 739 advanced features that may be related to the hierarchical 740 organization of a neural system. 741

The progressive classification and investigation of the 742 intermediates of the input data along the levels of the 743 hierarchical architecture, even if all the levels share the 744 same weight values, creates clear indications - evidence 745 of how the final decision is made. The combination of 746 Lipschitz and Shapley clearly captures the transitions 747 of internal representations of input signals, even for 748 problems that require long internal memory intervals. 749 The proposed system achieves a result with high ac-750 curacy with a white-box algorithm that is interpretable 751 in itself. This is especially important in domains like 752 medicine, defense, finance, and law where it is crucial 753 to understand the decisions and build up trust in the 754 algorithms. 755

This uniqueness methodology focuses mainly on the 756 development of an automated optimization of the ap-757 propriate parameters, so that an even more efficient, 758 accurate and faster explanation process is achieved, in 759 a simple and robust way. Additionally, this paper pro-760 poses the utilization of the introduced hybrid technol-761 ogy [55] in recommendation systems, in a completely 762 clear and transparent way. Finally, it would be impor-763 tant to study in the future, the expansion of this system 764 for the implementation of a real-time data flow control 765 framework. 766

767 **References**

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

- Sulaiman S, Aldeehani A, Alhajji M, Aziz FA. Development of integrated supply chain system in manufacturing industry. J Comput Methods Sci Eng. 2021 Jan 1; 21(3): 599-611.
- [2] Demertzis K, Iliadis L, Pimenidis E, Tziritas N, Koziri M, Kikiras P, et al. Federated Blockchained Supply Chain Management: A CyberSecurity and Privacy Framework. In: Maglogiannis I, Macintyre J, Iliadis L, editors. Artificial Intelligence Applications and Innovations. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2021; pp. 769-79. (IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology).
- [3] Alzubaidi L, Zhang J, Humaidi AJ, Al-Dujaili A, Duan Y, Al-Shamma O, et al. Review of deep learning: concepts, CNN architectures, challenges, applications, future directions. J Big Data. 2021 Mar 31; 8(1): 53.
- [4] Azan Basallo Y, Estrada Senti V, Martinez Sanchez N. Artificial intelligence techniques for informationsecurity risk as-

sessment. IEEE Lat Am Trans. 2018 Mar; 16(3): 897-901.
[5] Demertzis K, Iliadis L, Pimenidis E, Tziritas N, Koziri M, Kikiras P. Blockchained Adaptive Federated Auto MetaLearning BigData and DevOps CyberSecurity Architecture in Industry 40. In: Iliadis L, Macintyre J, Jayne C, Pimenidis E, editors. Proceedings of the 22nd Engineering Applications of Neural Networks Conference. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2021. p. 345-63. (Proceedings of the International Neural Networks Society).

- [6] Demertzis K, Iliadis L, Tziritas N, Kikiras P. Anomaly detection via blockchained deep learning smart contracts in industry 40. Neural Comput Appl. 2020 Sep 1; 32(23): 17361-78.
- [7] Bordel B, Alcarria R, Robles T. Lightweight encryption for short-range wireless biometric authentication systems in Industry 40. Integr Comput-Aided Eng. 2021 Jan 1; Preprint (Preprint): 1-21.
- [8] Ahmed M, Reno S, Akter N, Haque F. Securing Medical Forensic System Using Hyperledger Based Private Blockchain. In: 2020 23rd International Conference on Computer and Information Technology (ICCIT). 2020. p. 1-6.
- [9] Demertzis K. Blockchained Federated Learning for Threat Defense. ArXiv210212746; Cs [Internet]. 2021 Feb 25 [cited 2022 Feb 16]; Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.12746.
- [10] Nassif AB, Talib MA, Nasir Q, Dakalbab FM. Machine Learning for Anomaly Detection: A Systematic Review. IEEE Access. 2021; 9: 78658-700.
- [11] Ferrag MA, Friha O, Maglaras L, Janicke H, Shu L. Federated Deep Learning for Cyber Security in the Internet of Things: Concepts, Applications, and Experimental Analysis. IEEE Access. 2021; 9: 138509-42.
- [12] Yousuf S, Svetinovic D. Blockchain Technology in Supply Chain Management: Preliminary Study. In: 2019 Sixth International Conference on Internet of Things: Systems, Management and Security (IOTSMS). 2019. p. 537-8.
- [13] Al Jallad K, Aljnidi M, Desouki MS. Anomaly detection optimization using big data and deep learning to reduce falsepositive. J Big Data. 2020 Aug 31; 7(1): 68.
- [14] Jiang Z, Liu K. Real time interpretation and optimization of time series data stream in big data. In: 2018 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Cloud Computing and Big Data Analysis (ICCCBDA). 2018. p. 243-7.
- [15] Leal F, Veloso B, Malheiro B, Burguillo JC, Chis AE, González-Vélez H. Stream-based explainable recommendations via blockchain profiling. Integr Comput-Aided Eng. 2022 Jan 1; 29(1): 105-21.
- [16] Tellis VM, D'Souza DJ. Detecting Anomalies in Data Stream Using Efficient Techniques: A Review. In: 2018 International Conference on Control, Power, Communication and Computing Technologies (ICCPCCT). 2018. p. 296-8.
- [17] Anderson TW. An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis. Wiley; 2003; 752 p.
- [18] Leung D, Romagnoli JA. Chapter 6.4 Fault Diagnosis Methodologies for Process Operation. In: Braunschweig B, Gani R, editors. Computer Aided Chemical Engineering [Internet]. Elsevier; 2002 [cited 2022 Feb 16]. p. 535-56. (Software Architectures and Tools for Computer Aided Process Engineering; vol. 11). Available from: https://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S1570794602800244.
- [19] Gawlikowski J, Tassi CRN, Ali M, Lee J, Humt M, Feng J, et al. A Survey of Uncertainty in Deep Neural Networks. ArXiv210703342; Cs Stat [Internet]. 2021 Jul 7 [cited 2021 Nov 6]; Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.03342.
- [20] Xue Y, Zhu H, Neri F. A self-adaptive multi-objective feature selection approach for classification problems. Integr Comput-847

K. Demertzis et al. / An explainable semi-personalized federated learning model

895

898

899 900

901

904

905

906

907 908

909

910

Aided Eng. 2022 Jan 1; 29(1): 3-21. 848

- Xu G, Li H, Liu S, Yang K, Lin X. VerifyNet: Secure and [21] Verifiable Federated Learning. IEEE Trans Inf Forensics Secur. 2020; 15: 911-26.
- [22] Hua G, Zhu L, Wu J, Shen C, Zhou L, Lin Q. Blockchain-Based Federated Learning for Intelligent Control in Heavy Haul Railway. IEEE Access. 2020; 8: 176830-9.
- [23] Liu H, Lang B, Chen S, Yuan M. Interpretable deep learning method for attack detection based on spatial domain attention. In: 2021 IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications (ISCC). 2021. p. 1-6.
- [24] Ren C, Xu Y, Zhang R. An Interpretable Deep Learning Method for Power System Dynamic Security Assessment via Tree Regularization. IEEE Trans Power Syst. 2021; 1-1. 861
- [25] Li D, Wang J, Tan Z, Li X, Hu Y, Differential Privacy Preser-862 863 vation in Interpretable Feedforward-Designed Convolutional Neural Networks. In: 2020 IEEE 19th International Confer-864 ence on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Com-865 munications (TrustCom). 2020. p. 631-8. 866
- Petrosyan L, Sedakov A, Sun H, Xu G. Time consistency of the [26] 867 868 interval Shapley-like value in dynamic games. J Intell Fuzzy Syst. 2016 Jan 1; 30(4): 1965-72. 869
- Guo B, Hao S, Cao G, Gao H. Profit distribution of liner 870 [27] alliance based on shapley value. J Intell Fuzzy Syst. 2021 Jan 871 1: 41(4): 5081-5. 872
- Freer C, Kjos-Hanssen BRM, Nies A, Stephan F. Algorithmic 873 [28] 874 Aspects of Lipschitz Functions. Computability. 2014 Jan 1; 3(1): 45-61. 875
- 876 [29] Gao Y, Jia L. Stability in measure for uncertain delay differential equations based on new Lipschitz conditions. J Intell 877 Fuzzy Syst. 2021 Jan 1: 41(2): 2997-3009. 878
- [30] Rafiei MH, Adeli H. A New Neural Dynamic Classification 879 Algorithm. IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst. 2017 Dec; 880 28(12): 3074-83 881
- [31] Pereira DR, Piteri MA, Souza AN, Papa JP, Adeli H. FEMa: a 882 finite element machine for fast learning. Neural Comput Appl. 883 2020 May 1; 32(10): 6393-404. 884
- [32] Demertzis K, Iliadis L, Kikiras P. A Lipschitz - Shapley Ex-885 plainable Defense Methodology Against Adversarial Attacks. 886 887 In: Maglogiannis I, Macintyre J, Iliadis L, editors. Artificial Intelligence Applications and Innovations AIAI 2021; IFIP WG 888 125 International Workshops. Cham: Springer International 889 Publishing; 2021. p. 211-27. (IFIP Advances in Information 890 and Communication Technology). 891
- 892 [33] Alam KMdR, Siddique N, Adeli H. A dynamic ensemble learn-893 ing algorithm for neural networks. Neural Comput Appl. 2020 Jun 30: 32(12): 8675-90. 894
- Rafiei MH, Khushefati WH, Demirboga R, Adeli H. Super-[34] vised Deep Restricted Boltzmann Machine for Estimation of 896 Concrete. Mater J. 2017 Mar 1: 114(2): 237-44. 897
 - [35] Xing L, Demertzis K, Yang J. Identifying data streams anomalies by evolving spiking restricted Boltzmann machines. Neural Comput Appl. 2020 Jun 1; 32(11): 6699-713.
- Lipovetsky S, Conklin WM. Meaningful regression analysis in [36] adjusted coefficients Shapley value model. Model Assist Stat 902 Appl. 2010 Jan 1; 5(4): 251-64. 903
 - Meng F, Chen X, Zhang Q. Some uncertain generalized Shap-[37] ley aggregation operators for multi-attribute group decision making. J Intell Fuzzy Syst. 2015 Jan 1; 29(4): 1251-63.
 - [38] Gąsienica-Józkowy J, Knapik M, Cyganek B. An ensemble deep learning method with optimized weights for drone-based water rescue and surveillance. Integr Comput-Aided Eng. 2021 Jan 1; 28(3): 221-35.

[39] Liapis S, Christantonis K, Chazan-Pantzalis V, Manos A, Elizabeth Filippidou D, Tjortjis C. A methodology using classification for traffic prediction: Featuring the impact of & nbsp; COVID-19. Integr Comput-Aided Eng. 2021 Jan 1; 28(4): 914 417-35

911

912

913

915

916

917

918

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

- 8080labs. ppscore a Python implementation of the Predic-[40] tive Power Score (PPS) [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Feb 16]. Available from: https//github.com/8080labs/ppscore.
- [41] Guopan S. The effect of probability on risk perception and risk 919 preference in decision making. In: 2010 International Conference on Education and Management Technology. 2010. p. 690-3
- [42] Peng P, Xie L, Wei H. A Deep Fourier Neural Network for Seizure Prediction Using Convolutional Neural Network and Ratios of Spectral Power. Int J Neural Syst. 2021 Aug; 31(8): 2150022.
- Gómez-Silva MJ, de la Escalera A, Armingol JM. Back-[43] propagation of the Mahalanobis istance through a deep triplet learning model for person Re-Identification. Integr Comput-Aided Eng. 2021 Jan 1; 28(3): 277-94.
- [44] Wang Y, Sui M. Finite lattice approximation of infinite lattice systems with delays and non-Lipschitz nonlinearities. Asymptot Anal. 2018 Jan 1; 106(3-4): 169-203.
- [45] Cao S, Zhang G, Liu P, Zhang X, Neri F. Cloud-assisted secure eHealth systems for tamper-proofing EHR via blockchain. Inf Sci. 2019 Jun 1; 485: 427-40.
- [46] Xue Y, Zhang Q, Neri F. Self-Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization-Based Echo State Network for Time Series Prediction. Int J Neural Syst. 2021 Dec; 31(12): 2150057.
- [47] Xue Y, Jiang P, Neri F, Liang J. A Multi-Objective Evolutionary Approach Based on Graph-in-Graph for Neural Architecture Search of Convolutional Neural Networks. Int J Neural Syst. 2021 Sep; 31(9): 2150035.
- [48] Rafiei MH, Adeli H, NEEWS: A novel earthquake early warning model using neural dynamic classification and neural dynamic optimization. Soil Dyn Earthq Eng. 2017 Sep 1; 100: 417-27
- [49] Hassanpour A, Moradikia M, Adeli H, Khayami SR, Shamsinejadbabaki P. A novel end-to-end deep learning scheme for classifying multi-class motor imagery electroencephalography signals. Expert Syst. 2019; 36(6): e12494.
- DDoS 2019 | Datasets | Research | Canadian Institute for Cy-[50] bersecurity | UNB [Internet]. [cited 2022 Feb 16]. Available from: https://www.unb.ca/cic/datasets/ddos-2019.html.
- [51] Sharafaldin I, Lashkari AH, Hakak S, Ghorbani AA. Developing Realistic Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) Attack Dataset and Taxonomy. In: 2019 International Carnahan Conference on Security Technology (ICCST). 2019. p. 1-8.
- [52] Martins GB, Papa JP, Adeli H. Deep learning techniques for recommender systems based on collaborative filtering. Expert Syst. 2020; 37(6): e12647.
- [53] Rafiei MH, Adeli H. Novel Machine-Learning Model for Estimating Construction Costs Considering Economic Variables and Indexes. J Constr Eng Manag. 2018 Dec 1; 144(12): 04018106.
- Ahmadlou M, Adeli H. Enhanced probabilistic neural network [54] with local decision circles: A robust classifier. Integr Comput-Aided Eng. 2010 Jan 1; 17(3): 197-210.
- [55] Anezakis VD, Demertzis K, Iliadis L, Spartalis S. A Hybrid Soft Computing Approach Producing Robust Forest Fire Risk Indices. In: Iliadis L, Maglogiannis I, editors. Artificial Intelligence Applications and Innovations. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2016; p. 191-203.

⁸⁴⁹ 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860